Case 4:21-cv-05059-TOR ECF No. 148-1 filed 05/31/23 PageID.2134 Page 2 of 100

This Settlement Agreement is between undersigned Plaintiffs JOHN and JANE DOEs, and the provisional class they represent, through counsel KATHE-RINE M. FORSTER, ETHAN FRENCHMAN, JOE SHAEFFER, and NANCY TALNER, and Defendants Washington State Department of Corrections and Cheryl Strange, in her official capacity as Secretary, by and through their attorneys of record, ROBERT W. FERGUSON, Attorney General, and CANDIE M. DIBBLE and TIM LANG, Assistant Attorneys General. Plaintiffs and Defendants are collectively referred to herein as the "Parties."

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. The purpose of this Settlement Agreement is to resolve claims asserted in Plaintiffs' Complaint for Injunctive Relief, filed in this matter in April of 2021. The Complaint alleged that Defendants intended to release certain records requested pursuant to the Washington Public Records Act, RCW 42.56, in violation of Plaintiffs' rights under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; Article 1, Section 7 of the Washington Constitution; and the injunction provisions of the Washington Public Records Act. In their Answer, Defendants denied Plaintiffs' claims and alleged that no Public Records Act exemption existed that would authorize the Department of Corrections to withhold the requested information.
- 2. On May 17, 2021, the Court entered an Order Granting Motion for Provisional Class Certification (ECF No. 69) and an Order Granting Preliminary Injunction (ECF No. 70). The preliminary injunction prohibited Defendants "from

releasing any records (including names and prisoner identification numbers) concerning or that identify the gender identity, transgender status (including non-binary, intersex, and gender non-conforming people), sexual history, sexual orientation, sexual victimization, genital anatomy, mental and physical health, of the proposed class members...." ECF No. 70 at 38. The Court later entered an Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Clarification (ECF No. 98), instructing that:

Defendants are not enjoined from sharing such records in a non-public manner consistent with federal and state law and penological necessity. Defendants may share this information with other correctional and law enforcement agencies, outside health care treatment providers for the purposes of treatment, the Office of Corrections Ombuds, and the state protection and advocacy system. Defendants may also release this information in defensive litigation where a plaintiff has already disclosed the exact same information in open court, but in all other circumstances information or records covered by this Order must be distributed with a protective order and filed in court under seal.

ECF No. 98 at 4.

- 3. In 2022, the Washington Legislature passed, and Governor Inslee signed into law, Engrossed Substitute House Bill 1956 (ESHB 1956). Codified at RCW 42.56.475, ESHB 1956 took effect March 31, 2022, and provides an exemption from disclosure of certain information under the Public Records Act, including information covered by the Court's Preliminary Injunction.
- 4. The Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement embodies a compromise and settlement of all claims that were raised in this lawsuit, and that nothing herein shall be deemed an admission of any wrongdoing by or liability on the part of the Defendants. Plaintiffs further understand and agree that by entering into this

Settlement Agreement, they are stipulating to the dismissal of all claims asserted in

their Complaint with prejudice upon completion of the term of settlement as pro-

vided herein. Upon such dismissal, Plaintiffs agree and covenant not to sue the State

7

8 9 10

11 12

14 15

13

16 17

19

18

20 21

22 23

24

25 26 of Washington or its agencies, employees and officials over the claims concluded by this Settlement Agreement. The Parties stipulate that the Court has jurisdiction over this action 5. pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343, including to enforce the terms

- of this Settlement Agreement, and that venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. This Settlement Agreement will take effect upon the Court's entry of an Order approving and adopting the Agreement (the "Effective Date").
- 6. The Parties stipulate that the prospective relief provided in this Agreement complies with the requirements for prospective relief under the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3626(a). The Parties stipulate that the prospective relief in this Agreement is narrowly tailored, extends no further than would be necessary to correct the violations of federal rights as set forth by Plaintiffs in their Complaint, is the least intrusive means necessary to correct the violations asserted in Plaintiffs' Complaint, and will not have an adverse impact on public safety or the operation of a criminal justice system. Defendants agree not to contest that the entry of an order adopting this Agreement or entering a permanent injunction, as described below, complies with the requirements for prospective relief under 18 U.S.C. § 3626(a).

II. APPROVAL PROCESS

- 7. **Motion for Approval:** Plaintiffs shall move the Court within 30 days of execution of this Settlement Agreement for an Order granting approval of the Agreement. Defendants will submit a statement of non-opposition.
- 8. **Notice:** Should the Court deem it appropriate to provide Notice of the settlement to the Class prior to granting final approval of the settlement, the Parties shall negotiate, draft, and post notice to the Class.
- 9. **Final Approval of the Agreement:** If the Court orders notice to the Class with a final approval hearing to follow, Plaintiffs will move for final approval of the Agreement, and Defendants will submit a statement of non-opposition to final approval.

III. SUBSTANTIVE TERMS

- 10. **Injunction:** The Parties agree that, solely for the purpose of facilitating this Settlement Agreement and ensuring Plaintiffs' information is protected as the Parties have agreed, the Court may enter a permanent injunction (Injunction) in the form proposed as Exhibit 1. The Injunction shall remain in effect only for the duration of this Settlement, as defined in Paragraph No. 15 below, and shall automatically terminate thereafter with the dismissal of this lawsuit, unless Plaintiffs have successfully moved the Court for an order extending the Settlement duration.
- 11. **Training:** Defendants agree to adopt and implement the training materials attached hereto as Exhibit 2 (Training Materials), which Plaintiffs agree will be used to instruct public records staff on the proper interpretation and application

of RCW 42.56.475. Defendants further agree to train all staff responsible for reviewing and redacting records under the Public Records Act within 45 days of the date the Parties sign this Settlement Agreement.

- 12. **Notice to Plaintiffs' Counsel and Other Courts:** Within 5 days of Defendants receiving notice of any other court action regarding RCW 42.56.475, Defendants shall notify Plaintiffs' counsel of the action. Defendants shall also, in responsive pleadings, promptly notify any court overseeing the action of this Agreement, accompanying exhibits, and any associated orders and injunctions.
- 13. **Notification to Requestors:** Within 10 days of the Effective Date, Defendants will send letters to all public records requestors with requests then known to be subject to the Preliminary Injunction to confirm whether the requestors wish for their requests to remain open.
- 14. **Records Review and Disclosure Process:** The Parties agree that the following process will govern reduction and review of records subject to the Injunction:
 - a. **Scope:** The purpose of this review process is to allow Plaintiffs to confirm whether records and information will be protected consistent with RCW 42.56.475 and the Training Materials. The Parties therefore agree that Plaintiffs' review will focus solely on whether redactions/with-holdings are consistent with the Training Materials and RCW 42.56.475. The Parties agree this Settlement does not concern the propriety of redactions under other Public Records Act exemptions or confidentiality laws.

- b. **PRR Report:** Within 45 days of the Effective Date, Defendants will produce a report of all Public Records Requests since April 1, 2021, including: (1) text of the request; (2) name of the requestor; (3) type of requestor if known (e.g., attorney, media, incarcerated individual); (4) staff member assigned to the request; (5) date of receipt; (6) request status; and (7) pages offered (the "PRR report").
- c. **Doe Class List:** Within 45 days of the Effective Date, Defendants will produce a current copy of the Defendants' confidential list of all current and former incarcerated individuals known to Defendants to be transgender, intersex, gender non-conforming, and/or non-binary (the "Doe Class List").
- d. **Enjoined Request List:** Within 45 days of the Effective Date, Defendants will provide Plaintiffs' Counsel a list of all outstanding requests then known to be subject to the Injunction, including: (1) text of the request; (2) name of the requestor; (3) type of requestor if known (e.g., attorney, media, incarcerated individual); (4) staff member assigned to the request; (5) date of receipt; (6) request status; and (7) pages offered (the "Enjoined Request List").
- e. **Updated Lists:** Within 30 days after providing the PRR Report, *Doe* Class List, and Enjoined Request List, and every 30 days thereafter for a total of four months, Defendants will update these documents. In addition to updating the status of requests on previous lists, the updated

26

lists will include the information required in subparagraphs (b) above for all new requests received since the previous list was provided that are known to be subject to the Injunction. Upon the request of either party, the Parties shall meet for a status conference within one week of Plaintiffs' counsel's receipt of these documents.

f. Requests to Review: During the first six months after the Effective Date, Defendants shall provide Plaintiffs' Counsel relevant records, including requestor correspondence, responsive records, and proposed redactions/withholdings, for up to 27 Public Records Act requests selected by Plaintiffs' Counsel from those appearing on the Enjoined List or PRR Report. DOC will provide requested records with redactions marked within 5 business days if the response is completed at the time of Plaintiffs' Counsel's request to review. If the response is not completed when Plaintiffs' Counsel request review, Defendants will make good faith efforts to prioritize and complete the response (and provide it to Plaintiffs' Counsel) within 60 calendar days. If the response cannot be completed within 60 days, Defendants will produce an installment of no fewer than 500 pages. Defendants will continue to produce an installment of no fewer than 500 pages every 60 calendar days until the production is complete or until 8 months after the Effective Date, whichever comes first. The Parties agree that DOC will not be required to produce proposed redactions for a request after it has been abandoned or withdrawn

by the requestor. Also, in recognition of the potential workload impacts of this process on DOC Public Records Unit staff, Plaintiffs agree they will make good faith efforts to sequence their requests over the 6-month period so as not to overwhelm staff with multiple requests at any one time.

- g. **Deadline for Completing Review and Response:** Plaintiffs will complete their review of any request made under subparagraph (f) as soon as practicable, but no later than 60 calendar days after receiving the records. Upon completing their review, Plaintiffs' Counsel shall identify any instances in which they believe RCW 42.56.475 was not applied to the reviewed records consistent with the Training Materials.
- h. **Meet and Confer:** Within ten calendar days after receiving Plaintiffs' response, the Parties will meet and confer to resolve any disagreement. If the Parties cannot reach agreement, Plaintiffs will have 14 calendar days to file a motion for *in camera* review.
- i. *In Camera* Review: On *in camera* review, the Parties agree they will request that the Court determine whether DOC applied RCW 42.56.475 to the records at issue in a manner consistent with the Training Materials. Before producing records that have been reviewed by the Court, Plaintiffs will have ten calendar days to review the final production to ensure the redactions/withholdings reflect the Court's decision.

- j. **Post-Review Disclosure:** The Parties agree that records that have been approved for release by Plaintiffs' Counsel or the Court may be disclosed to the requestor without violating the Injunction. Records that have not gone through the review process and been approved for release will remain subject to the Injunction. Except in instances where a proposed release of records is brought to the Court for *in camera* review, Defendants will be the party ultimately responsible for the legal determination of whether records may be disclosed in response to Public Records Act requests.
- 15. **Settlement Duration and Enforcement:** The following provisions shall govern the duration and enforcement of this settlement:
 - a. **Automatic Expiration:** This Settlement Agreement shall automatically expire one year after the Effective Date, at which time the Parties will present a Stipulated Order dissolving the Injunction and dismissing the action with prejudice.
 - b. **Motion to Extend Duration:** The Court may extend the Settlement upon motion by Plaintiffs if the Court finds that Defendants have failed to apply RCW 42.56.475 in a manner substantially consistent with the Training Materials, such that Plaintiffs would have a high likelihood of prevailing on their Eighth or Fourteenth Amendment claims if the Injunction were dissolved, or that Defendants have failed to train staff or to comply with the records review and disclosure process according to this

Agreement. Extension of this Agreement shall include continuing the Permanent Injunction, an additional period of monitoring by Plaintiffs' Counsel as described in Paragraph 14 of this Agreement, and such further relief as the Court determines appropriate. For purposes of extending or terminating the Settlement Agreement, the Parties agree that non-systemic deviations shall not prevent a finding that Defendants have applied RCW 42.56.475 in a manner substantially consistent with the Training Materials, provided that Defendants demonstrate that they have instituted policies and practices that are reasonably calculated to achieve durable and sustained compliance. Evidence of episodic or isolated instances of redaction/withholding errors is not a sufficient basis to extend the Settlement.

- c. **Informal Dispute Resolution:** The Parties agree to work in good faith to resolve disputes informally and minimize the need for Court involvement in enforcing this Settlement Agreement.
- d. **Enforcement:** The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Agreement while it remains in effect. Violations of the terms of this Agreement, including but not limited to the Injunction, training, and review and disclosure process, may be brought to the Court for enforcement and such further relief the Court determines appropriate.
- 16. **Attorneys' Fees:** Within ten business days of the Effective Date, Defendants will pay \$650,000.00 in attorneys' fees and costs. The Parties agree that

this amount resolves the claim for attorneys' fees and costs for this litigation, including any fees incurred by Plaintiffs in monitoring Defendants' compliance with the initial one-year term of this Settlement Agreement. However, Defendants agree that they will pay Plaintiffs' reasonable fees and costs directly associated with any successful motion to enforce the Settlement Agreement, including to extend the term of the Settlement or a motion for *in camera* review provided that, if Plaintiffs bring a successful motion for *in camera* review, the Court will not award Plaintiffs attorneys' fees for that motion if the Court finds that Defendants' interpretation of RCW 42.56.475 and the Training Materials was reasonable. Defendants further agree that they will pay Plaintiffs' reasonable fees and costs for monitoring Defendants' compliance beyond the initial one-year term of this Agreement, should the Agreement be extended. The Parties otherwise agree that neither party is to be considered a prevailing party in this action for any purpose, including, but not limited to, attorney fees.

- 17. **Amendment:** By mutual agreement, the parties may change the terms of this Agreement, provided that such mutual agreement is memorialized in writing, signed by the Parties, and approved by the Court.
- 18. **Construction:** This Agreement constitutes the final written expression of all the terms of this Agreement and is a complete and exclusive statement of these terms. No party hereto shall be considered the drafter of this Settlement

Agreement for the purpose of any statute, case law, or rule of interpretation or construction that would or might cause the provision to be construed against the drafter thereof.

- 19. **DRW Authority:** Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to limit the ability of Disability Rights Washington to fulfill its federal mandates pursuant to the Protection and Advocacy for Individuals with Mental Illness (PAIMI) Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 10801-51, the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights (DD) Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 15041-45, and their implementing regulations, or limit DRW's authority as the Washington State protection and advocacy system, including its ability to access Defendants' records under federal and state law.
- 20. Voluntary and Knowing Agreement: The Parties verify that they have read and understand this Settlement Agreement, that they enter into this Agreement knowingly and voluntarily, and that this Agreement represents the entire agreement of the Parties in this case.
- 21. **Binding Effect:** This Settlement Agreement binds and inures to the benefit of the Parties and their successors.

Todal Howler		
	05-31-2023	
TODD DOWLER	DATE	
Assistant Secretary		

Department of Corrections

Case 4:21-cv-05059-TOR ECF No. 148-1 filed 05/31/23 PageID.2147 Page 15 of 100

26